

*The Relationship between the Conformation of Epimeric 6-Substituted 4-ene-3-Ketosteroids and their Ultraviolet and Infrared Absorption Spectra*

By Ken-ichi MORITA

(Received January 25, 1958)

In the previous paper<sup>1)</sup> an empirical rule has been presented for the absorption spectra of C<sub>6</sub>-substituted 4-ene-3-ketosteroids. Namely, 6 $\beta$ -isomers have lower K-band intensities in the ultraviolet absorption spectra than the corresponding 6 $\alpha$ -isomers. The effect was ascribed to the greater steric hindrance involved in 6 $\beta$ -isomers in which 6 $\beta$ -substituents have 1:3-X:C<sub>10</sub>Me and 1:3-X:C<sub>8</sub>H interactions<sup>2)</sup>.

Both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  substituents in the system cause only the small wavelength displacements ( $\pm\Delta\lambda \approx 5\text{ m}\mu$ ) accompanied by decreases in  $\epsilon$ . Hence, the type of spectral effects arising from the steric hindrance in the system may be associated with transitions between non-planar ground states and planar or near-planar excited states<sup>3)</sup>. Thus the angles  $\theta$  between the C<sub>3</sub> carbonyl groups and 4,5-unsaturated linkages in the ground state may be calculated from an equation  $\cos^2\theta = \epsilon/\epsilon_0$ , presented by Braude and Sondheimer<sup>3)</sup>, where  $\epsilon_0$  is the value for the unhindered compound in which  $\theta=0$ , if it is assumed that the 4-ene-3-keto system of 4-cholesten-3-one has uniplanar conformation. The values of  $\theta$  derived in this way are given in Table I.

TABLE I  
ULTRAVIOLET SPECTRA OF EPIMERIC 6-SUBSTITUTED 4-CHOLESTEN-3-ONE<sup>4)</sup>

Compound	$\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{alc}}$ (m $\mu$ )	$\epsilon$	$\epsilon/\epsilon_0$	$\theta^\circ$
4-cholesten-3-one	241	18,000 <sup>5)</sup>	1.	0
6 $\alpha$ -hydroxy-	240	17,080	0.95	13
6 $\beta$ -hydroxy-	237	14,500	0.80	27
6 $\alpha$ -acetoxy-	238	15,800	0.88	20
6 $\beta$ -acetoxy-	237	13,200	0.73	31
6 $\alpha$ -chloro-	239	17,500	0.97	10
6 $\beta$ -chloro-	241	15,100	0.84	23
6 $\alpha$ -bromo-	238	15,800	0.88	20
6 $\beta$ -bromo-	246	13,500	0.75	30
6 $\alpha$ -methyl-	241	16,650	0.92	16
6 $\beta$ -methyl-	241	15,100	0.84	23

1) K. Morita, *J. Chem. Soc. Japan, Pure Chem. Sec. (Nippon Kagaku Zasshi)*, **78**, 1581 (1957).

2) D. H. R. Barton, *J. Chem. Soc.*, **1953**, 1027; D. H. R. Barton and R. C. Cookson, *Quart. Rev.*, **10**, 44 (1956).

3) E. A. Braude and F. Sondheimer, *J. Chem. Soc.*, **1955**, 3754.

4) See Table I of ref. 1 and literatures cited there.

The greater values in the interplanar angles for the 6 $\beta$ -substituted 4-ene-3-ketosteroids, deduced from the difference in ultraviolet absorption, may be reflected in the infrared spectra. Unfortunately, only a limited number of the infrared spectra of these compounds have been reported<sup>6,7,8)</sup>. In the infrared spectra of epimeric 6-acetoxy-4-cholesten-3-one<sup>6)</sup> the location of the carbonyl band for 6 $\beta$ -isomer approaches that of saturated ketone more than that for 6 $\alpha$ -isomer. A rough linear relationship<sup>9)</sup> appears to exist between the interplanar angle  $\theta$  deduced from difference in ultraviolet and  $\Delta\nu/\Delta\nu_0$  where  $\Delta\nu$  refers to the shift with respect to an unconjugated ketone (taken as 1713 cm.<sup>-1</sup>) and  $\Delta\nu_0$  refers to the shift in the 4-cholesten-3-one (Table II).

TABLE II  
INFRARED SPECTRA OF EPIMERIC 6-ACETOXY-4-CHOLESTEN-3-ONE IN CARBON DISULFIDE<sup>4)</sup>

Compound	$\nu_{\text{C=O}}$ (cm. <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta\nu/\Delta\nu_0$	$\nu_{\text{C=C}}$ (cm. <sup>-1</sup> )
4-cholesten-3-one	1684	1.	1626
6 $\alpha$ -acetoxy-	1692	0.72	1631
6 $\beta$ -acetoxy-	1698	0.52	—

Sugiyama Chemical Research Institute  
Mure, Mitaka, Tokyo

5) L. Dorfman, *Chem. Rev.*, **53**, 47 (1953). cf. D. H. R. Barton and E. R. H. Jones, *J. Chem. Soc.*, **1943**, 602.

6) L. F. Fieser, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **75**, 4377 (1953).

7) K. Dobriner, E. R. Katzenellenbogen and R. N. Jones, "Infrared Absorption Spectra of Steroids", Chart 203, (1953).

8) R. N. Jones and F. Herling, *J. Org. Chem.*, **19**, 1252 (1954).

9) E. A. Braude and C. J. Timmons, *J. Chem. Soc.*, **1955**, 3766.